Electronically Filed 10/4/2023 9:59 AM Seventh Judicial District, Fremont County Abbie Mace, Clerk of the Court By: Becky Harrigfeld, Deputy Clerk

Craig H. Durham
FERGUSON DURHAM, PLLC
223 N. 6th Street, Suite 325
Boise, Idaho 83702
T: (208) 724-2617
F: (208) 906-8663
chd@fergusondurham.com
ISB No. 6428

Attorney for Defendant

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE SEVENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR FREMONT COUNTY

STATE OF IDAHO,

Plaintiff/Respondent,

vs.

AMENDED

NOTICE OF APPEAL

LORI NORENE VALLOW aka
LORI NORENE DAYBELL,

Defendant/Appellant.

TO: THE ABOVE-NAMED RESPONDENT, STATE OF IDAHO, AND THE PARTY'S ATTORNEYS, LINDSEY A. BLAKE, FREMONT COUNTY PROSECUTING ATTORNEY, 22 W. 1ST NORTH, ST. ANTHONY, IDAHO, 83445, AND ROBERT H. WOOD, MADISON COUNTY PROSECUTING ATTORNEY, 159 E. MAIN ST., REXBURG, IDAHO, 83440, AND THE CLERK OF THE ABOVE-ENTITLED COURT:

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT:

- 1. The above-named appellant, Lori Norene Vallow aka Lori Norene Daybell, appeals against the above-named respondent to the Idaho Supreme Court from the Judgment of Conviction entered on August 2, 2023, Honorable Steven W. Boyce, presiding.
- 2. The party has a right to appeal to the Idaho Supreme Court, and the judgments or orders described in paragraph 1 above are appealable orders under and pursuant to Rule 11(c)(1-9), Idaho Appellate Rules (I.A.R.).
- 3. A preliminary statement of the issues on appeal which the appellant then intends to assert in the appeal; provided, any such list of issues on appeal shall not prevent the appellant from asserting other issues on appeal:
 - (a) Did the court err in its order dated April 11, 2022, wherein the court found that the defendant, after spending 10 months in a mental hospital, was competent to stand trial?
 - (b) Did the court err in its order dated November 15, 2022, wherein the court denied the defense experts' requests to send the defendant back to the mental hospital rather than proceed to trial?
 - (c) Was the defendant's constitutional and statutory right to a speedy trial violated by the government's repeated requests for a continuance?
 - (d) Was the defendant's constitutional and statutory right to a speedy trial violated by the court's trial setting?
 - (e) Did the court err in denying defense challenges for cause of trial jurors due to bias or hardship during jury selection?
 - (f) Did the government commit fundamental reversible error in its opening statement to the jury?

- (g) Did the court err in allowing the government to produce evidence of other crimes or acts against the defendant under Rule 404(b), Idaho Rules of Evidence?
- (h) Did the court err in allowing the government to exceed the scope of its order regarding other crimes or acts against the defendant under Rule 404(b), Idaho Rules of Evidence?
- (i) Did the court err in allowing the government to amend the grand jury indictment two years after the indictment was filed without sending the case back to the grand jury?
- (j) Did the court err in allowing the jury to hear statements of co-conspirators, but then rule in jury instructions that the government need not prove those persons were part of the conspiracy?
- (k) When the grand jury indictment puts the defendant on notice that she is charged with a conspiracy involving five or more people, can the trial court ignore that finding and instead proceed with standard conspiracy jury instructions?
- (l) Did the government commit fundamental reversible error in its closing statement to the jury?
- (m) Did the court err when it granted, without a hearing, the government's objection to the defense request for the court to review all mitigation evidence submitted by the defense for sentencing?
- (n) Should a new sentencing hearing be held due to the sentencing court not reviewing all mitigation evidence submitted by the defense?
- (o) Did the sentencing court abuse its discretion by ordering the defendant to serve three consecutive fixed life sentences without parole?

- (p) Did the sentencing court abuse its discretion when it ordered the defendant, who had been found indigent, qualified for a public defender, and had just been ordered to serve life in prison without parole, to pay \$165,018.00 in fines and court costs?
- 4. There is a portion of the record that is sealed, including all the mental health reports of the defendant and the presentence report. The appellant requests that all sealed and confidential filings be included in the Clerk's Record, yet compiled separately in a confidential volume.
- 5. The appellant requests the preparation of the entire reporter's standard transcript as defined in I.A.R. 25(d). The appellant also requests the preparation of additional portions of the reporter's transcript to include (no estimated pages are on the register of actions):
 - (a) Initial Appearance 5/26/2021 Amy Bland
 - (b) Status Conference 8/30/2021 Mary Ann Elliott
 - (c) Status Conference 9/8/2021 No Court Reporter Audio Recorded
 - (d) Status Conference 9/15/2021 Amy Bland
 - (e) Status Conference 9/16/2021 Amy Bland
 - (f) Status Conference 10/21/2021 Amy Bland
 - (g) Motion Hearing 12/29/2021 10:00 a.m. and 10:30 a.m. Amy Bland
 - (h) Motion Hearing 1/05/2022 Mary Ann Elliott
 - (i) Status Conference 2/11/2022 Amy Bland
 - (j) Motion Hearing 3/11/2022 Amy Bland
 - (k) Scheduling Conference 3/21/2022 Jack Fuller
 - (1) Status Conference 4/7/2022 Amy Bland
 - (m) Arraignment 4/19/2022 Jack Fuller
 - (n) Motion Hearing 5/19/2022 Mary Fox

- (o) Motion Hearing 8/16/2022 Amy Bland
- (p) Motion Hearing 9/15/2022 Mary Fox
- (q) Status Conference 10/5/2022 No Court Reporter Audio Recorded
- (r) Status Conference 10/26/2022 No Court Reporter Audio Recorded
- (s) Motion to Compel 10/28/2022 No Court Reporter Audio Recorded
- (t) Competency Evaluation Review 11/9/2022 Jack Fuller
- (u) Motion Hearing 12/8/2022 Patti Bath
- (v) Motion Hearing 1/19/2023 Patti Bath
- (w) Review Hearing 1/31/2023 Patti Bath
- (x) Motion Hearing 2/9/2023 Patti Bath
- (y) Motion Hearing 2/16/2023 Patti Bath
- (z) Oral Decision 2/22/2023 Patti Bath
- (aa) Pretrial Conference 2/23/2023 Patti Bath
- (bb) Status Conference 2/27/2023 Patti Bath
- (cc) Status Conference 3/2/2023 Patti Bath
- (dd) Motion Hearing 3/15/2023 Patti Bath
- (ee) Oral Decision 3/21/2023 Patti Bath
- (ff) Jury Trial 4/3/2023 Patti Bath, Christine Smith & Tiffany Fisher
- (gg) Motion Hearing 6/15/2023 Patti Bath
- (hh) Motion Hearing 7/26/2023 Patti Bath
- (ii) Sentencing 7/31/2023 PattiBath

6. The appellant requests all documents filed in the trial court file to be

included in the Clerk's Record on appeal, including all sealed and confidential filings to be

included separately in a confidential and sealed volume. See, e.g., I.A.R. 28(b)(2)(O).

7. I certify:

(a) that a copy of this notice of appeal has been served on the court reporter.

(b) that the appellant is exempt from paying the estimated transcript fee because

the appellant is indigent.

(c) that there is no appellate filing fee since this is an appeal in a criminal case.

(d) that service has been made upon all parties required to be served pursuant to

Rule 20, I.A.R.

Dated: October 4, 2023.

/s/Craig H. Durham

Craig H. Durham

Attorney for Appellant

6

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this day I served a true and correct copy of the foregoing document on the following by the method of delivery indicated:

Lindsey A. Blake, Esq. Efile and serve Prosecuting Attorney

Robert H. Wood, Esq. Efile and serve Prosecuting Attorney

Patti Bath pbath@co.madison.id.us

Court Reporter

Amy Bland abland@co.bonneville.id.us

Court Reporter

Mary Fox mfox@co.bonneville.id.us

Court Reporter

Mary Ann Elliott elliottcourtreporting@gmail.com

Court Reporter

Jack Fuller jfuller@co.bonneville.id.us

Court Reporter

Christine Smith csmith@adacounty.id.gov

Court Reporter

Tiffany Fisher tiff.fisher.csr@gmail.com

Court Reporter

Dated: October 4, 2023 /s/Craig H. Durham

Craig H. Durham